WO 2005/015493 PCT/EP2004/051265

## Claims

30

- 1. A method of fragile watermarking a digital image, including the steps of extracting from the image a portion thereof A and generating at least a first ill-conditioned operator related to values extracted from the portion A.
- A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 1 wherein the ill-conditioned operator is generated by
  altering a value to increase the operator's condition number.
- 3. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 1 or 2, comprising the step of replacing a non-zero singular value of a singular value matrix  $S_A$  of an image or portion thereof A, with a solution to a linear equation comprising the ill-conditioned operator.
  - 4. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 3, 0 wherein the non-zero singular value to be replaced is the smallest non-zero singular value  $S_r(A)$  in a singular value matrix  $S_A$  of rank r.
- 5. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein a non-zero singular value of a singular value matrix  $S_W$  of a watermark pattern or portion thereof W is replaced, such that said replacement increases the condition number of the singular value matrix  $S_W$  of the watermark pattern or portion thereof W.

6. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 5, wherein the non-zero singular value to be replaced is the smallest non-zero singular value  $S_t(W)$  in a singular value matrix  $S_W$  of rank t.

7. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating a replacement non-zero singular value of singular value matrix  $S_W$  of a watermark or portion thereof W comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$s_{n}(W) = \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive real number that increases the condition number of the singular value matrix  $S_W$ .

10

8. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of generating at least a first ill-conditioned operator comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

 $B = \hat{A}\hat{W},$ 

where  $\hat{W}$  is substantially constructed according to  $\hat{W} = U_w \hat{S}_w V_w^T$ ,  $\hat{S}_w$  comprising at least one altered singular value  $s_t(W) = \varepsilon$ , and such that B forms a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{s}_r) = \hat{A}(\hat{s}_r)\hat{W}$  for possible values of  $\hat{s}_r(A)$ .

20

25

30

- 9. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 8, wherein  $s_r(A)$  is determined by an  $L_2$ -norm solution of the least squares problem  $\frac{\min}{x \in \Re^p} \|Bx b\|_2^2 \text{ to equal the square}$  of a predefined key N of predetermined value, where b is an arbitrary vector.
- 10. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating the replacement non-zero singular value of singular value matrix A comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$\min_{\hat{S}_r(A)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \left( u_{B_i}^T b \middle/ S_i(B(\hat{S}_r)) \right)^2 - N^2 \right\},$$

where  $u_{B_i}$  is the I-th column of the matrix formed with the right singular vectors of B.

- 11. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 10, wherein  $\hat{s}_r(A)$  further satisfies  $\hat{s}_r(A) = \overline{s}_r(A) \in [\max{(eps, s_r(A) \delta)}, s_r(A) + \delta] = [H_0, H_1]$ , where  $\delta$  is a distortion control and eps is machine precision, such that the step of calculating the replacement non-zero 0 singular value comprises calculating substantially the
- 10 singular value comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

with all terms as defined herein.

- 15 12. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of claims 9 to 11, wherein vector b is related to at least a first parameter derived from a portion of an image I other than A.
- 13. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 12, wherein for a sequential watermarking process comprising the watermarking of portion  $A^{(k)}$  after the watermarking of portion  $A^{(k-1)}$ , k=1,...,L of L portions, then the step of calculating  $b^{(k)}$  for portion  $A^{(k)}$  comprises
- 25 calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$b^{(k)} = \begin{cases} A^{(k)}Z^{(k)} & \text{for } k = 1\\ A^{(k-1)}Z^{(k)} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where Z<sup>(k)</sup> is a pseudo-random binary vector.

5

14. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating the watermarked image or portion thereof  $\hat{A}$  comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$\hat{A} = U_{A} \hat{S}_{A} V_{A}^{T}$$

where  $\hat{S}_{A}$  comprises at least one replaced singular value,  $U_{A}$  and  $V_{A}$  being left and right singular matrices.

- 15. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein a watermark pattern or portion thereof W is generated by a pseudo-random generator seeded by a key K of predetermined value.
- 16. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim15, wherein the values of key K and key N are related.
- 17. A method of fragile watermarking according to either one of claims 15 and 16, wherein the a watermark pattern or portion thereof W is generated by a pseudo-random generator seeded by a key K of predetermined value, combined with either a single or repeated instance of a logo.
  - 18. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, comprising the following steps;
- 25 i. generating a K-dependent watermark pattern W from  $\Omega$ , or recalling a pre-existing one;
  - ii. constructing a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{s}_r)$ ;
  - iii. estimating a unique parameter  $\overline{s}_r(A)$ , that minimizes the expression

- iv. estimating the watermarked block  $\hat{A} = U_A \hat{S}_A V_A^T$  by setting  $\hat{S} = diag(s_1(A), \dots, s_{r-1}(A), \overline{S}_r(A))$ .
- 19. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of claims 1 to 17, comprising the following steps;
  - i. generating a K-dependent watermark pattern W from  $\Omega$ , or recalling a pre-existing one;
  - ii. constructing a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{s}_r)$ ;
  - iii. estimating a unique parameter  $\overline{s}_r(A) \in \left[\max\left(eps,\,s_r(A)\,-\,\delta\right)\,,\,s_r(A)\,+\,\delta\right] = \left[H_0\,,\,H_1\right], \text{ that}$  minimizes the expression:

iv. estimating the watermarked block  $\hat{A} = U_A \hat{S}_A V_A^T$  by setting  $\hat{S} = diag(s_1(A), \ldots, s_{r-1}(A), \overline{S}_r(A))$ .

15

10

- 20. A method of verifying a fragile watermark, characterised by the step of generating at least a first ill-conditioned operator by altering a value to increase its condition number, said ill-conditioned operator being
- 20 related to values extracted from a received image or portion thereof  $A^*$ .
  - 21. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to claim 20, characterised by the step of calculating a
- 25 solution to the least squares problem  $\frac{\min}{x \in \Re^p} \|B^*x b\|_2^2 \text{ where}$   $B^* = A^* \widehat{W}.$ 
  - 22. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to either one of claims 20 and 21, wherein a positive square-

10

15

20

root  $N^*$  of the L<sub>2</sub>-norm solution of the least squares problem  $\lim_{x \in \Re^p} \|B^*x - b\|_2^2$  is compared with key N; and

the received image or portion thereof A comprising the fragile watermark is declared authentic if  $\left|N^*-N\right| \leq \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a threshold value.

23. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to any one of claims 20 to 22, wherein the step of calculating value N comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$(N^*)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( u_{B_i}^T b / s_i (B^*) \right)^2;$$

N is compared with key N; and

the received image or portion thereof A comprising the fragile watermark is declared authentic if  $\left|N^*-N\right| \leq \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a threshold value.

- 24. Apparatus for fragile watermarking of an image in accordance with a method of any one of claims 1 to 19, comprising generating means for generating at least a first ill-conditioned operator, said ill-conditioned operator being related to values extracted from an image or portion thereof A.
- 25. Apparatus for validating a fragile watermarked image in accordance with a method of any one of claims 20 to 23, and comprising;

generating means for generating at least a first illconditioned operator by altering a value to increase its condition number, said ill-conditioned operator being WO 2005/015493 PCT/EP2004/051265

29

related to values extracted from a received image or portion thereof  $\mathbf{A}^{\star}$ .